

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/621,335	07/18/2003	Tsuneo Shinpou	240466US0	2508
22850	7590 09/09/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			YU, GINA C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	
			DATE MAILED: 09/09/2009	· 5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
· ·	10/621,335	SHINPOU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gina C. Yu	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u></u>				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tire will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.	·			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f)			
a) ☐ All b) ☒ Some * c) ☐ None of:	F • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	, (2) 3: (1):			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Motice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	· ·			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		Patent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/621,335

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) based upon a public use or sale of the invention.

Pacific Research Consulting reports that Kao, the assignee of this application, has produced a gel cream composition containing a chamomile (chamoilla) extract, and marketed as a facial treatment to whiten the skin as of May 25, 2002. See Cosmetic & Toiletries & House hold Products Marketing News in Japan, May 25, 2002, Vol. 24, Iss. 5.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mausner (US 5571503).

Mausner discloses a cosmetic composition comprising matricaria (chamomile) extract. See col. 10, lines 31 – 38. The reference teaches that the extract comprises volatile oil and matricarin, which suggests that the extract is oil soluble. See Id. Table II discloses using matricaria extract and glyceryl stearate (ester of C18 carboxylic acid with trihydric alcohol).

The term "whitening" is directed to the function or physical property of the composition comprising the recited components of the present claims. A chemical

composition and its properties are inseparable. Therefore, if the prior art teaches the identical chemical structure, the properties applicant discloses and/or claims are necessarily present. In re Spada, 911 F.2d 705, 709, 15 USPQ2d 1655, 1658 (Fed. Cir. 1990). In this case, it is viewed that the skin whitening function is inherently present in the prior art composition which comprises the same components claimed by applicants.

Claim 2 is a product-by-process claim. The claimed component in claim 2 is an oil soluble chamomilla extract, regardless of the process by which the extract is obtained. "[E]ven though product-by-process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." See In re Thorpe, 777 F.2d 695, 698, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985). While Mausner does not specifically teach that the matricaria extract is derived with squalane, the end product nonetheless is a composition comprising an oil-soluble chamomilla extract.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gina C. Yu whose telephone number is 571-272-8605. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, from 8:30 AM until 6:00 PM..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gina Yu Patent Examiner

> SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER